



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,769	12/29/2000	Judith K. Gwathmey	G2000-7000	5078

7590

03/30/2005

Ann Lamport Hammitte
Lowrie, Lando & Anastasi, LLP,
Riverfront Office Park
One Main Street
Cambridge, MA 02142

EXAMINER

SAUNDERS, DAVID A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/751,769

GWATHMEY

EXAMINER	
SAUNDERS	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) C. RHODES (3) _____
(2) D. SAUNDERS (4) _____

Date of Interview 3/22/05

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 10, 31-35

Identification of prior art discussed: LAU et al (A5), ROHMAN (A5 & A7)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: EXAMINER INDICATED THAT "FINAL" BOX CHECKED ON FORM PTO-326 WAS UNINTENDED. AGREED THAT CLAIM 10 IS TO BE GROUPED WITH 31-35 AND THAT LIMITS OF CLAIM 10 WERE ADDRESSED IN THE REJECTION. EXAMINER INDICATED THAT CONCENTRATIONS OF CLAIM 34 CANNOT BE DETERMINED FROM CITED PRIOR ART. AN INDEPENDENT CLAIM INCORPORATING LIMITS OF 34 WOULD BE ALLOWABLE OVER PRIOR ART OF RECORD. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

David A Saunders
DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 182/644